

American Foundation for Donation and Transplantation 8154 Forest Hill Avenue, Suite 3 Richmond, VA 23235-3255

Ph: (804) 323-9890

AFDT Proficiency Testing Screens Summary Report

Consensus Results - Sept. 2014 / Ev02

Region Begin Serum End Serum Screens Introduction

Α 164 168

Consensus PRA CLass I Screen											
		Class I		# of Labs	# of Labs						
Reg	Serum	Test	CL I Result	% CL I Cons	with Result	Matching Consensus					
Α	164	CLI	Р	53%	17	9					
Α	165	CLI	Р	61%	18	11					
Α	166	CLI	N	100%	17	17					
Α	167	CLI	N	100%	17	17					
$\overline{}$	160		D	1000/	10	10					

Consensus PRA Class II Screen											
			Cla	ass II	# of Labs	# of Labs Matching Consensus					
Reg	Serum	Test	CL II Result	% CL II Cons	with Result						
Α	164	CL II	N	100%	17	17					
Α	165	CL II	Р	100%	18	18					
Α	166	CL II	N	100%	17	17					
Α	167	CL II	N	100%	17	17					
Α	168	CL II	Р	100%	18	18					

Comment:

Sera 164 and 165 did not reach consensus on the Class I Sceening assays. It is unusual for this to occur on the proficiency test. Some laboratories that screen by Flow and Luminex noted that the Luminex screen looked negative, while the Flow screen looked weakly positive. These two sera also some problems with the crossmatch, as the actual crossmatch results did not always agree with the predicted crossmatch.